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The empirical probability density of the power spectral density has been successfully applied as tool to assess signal variability and sensor system performance in the seismic literature. This paper presents the application of this analysis method to underwater ambient noise measurements, and demonstrates its utility in assessing the field performance of passive acoustic monitoring systems and the statistical distribution of noise levels across the frequency spectrum. Using example datasets from an autonomous passive acoustic recorder in the Moray Firth, Scotland, UK, and a cabled subsea observatory in the Strait of Georgia, British Columbia, we show how this method can reveal data limitations such as persistent tonal components and insufficient dynamic range, and phenomena such as bimodality and outliers which may be undetected by standard analysis techniques. We then combine this approach with conventional percentiles and spectral averages, illustrating how the underlying noise level distributions influence these metrics, and propose this technique as a standard, integrative presentation of ambient noise spectra.
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INTRODUCTION

Passive acoustic monitoring (PAM) of underwater ambient noise is the primary investigative tool in the growing research areas of acoustic habitat characterization and anthropogenic noise monitoring. Conventional methods of presenting ambient acoustic data include the power spectral density (PSD; e.g. Merchant et al. [1]) to show temporal variation, and two-dimensional spectral averages (e.g. Wysocki et al. [2]) or percentiles (e.g. Curtis et al. [3]) to summarize frequency content. However, these standard techniques cannot reveal multimodality or outlying data, and may conceal contamination by system noise and inadequate dynamic range in the recording system.

An alternative to two-dimensional spectra has been developed for baseline monitoring and system diagnostics of seismic sensor networks, which presents the empirical probability densities of frequency bands computed from the PSD [4]. A less developed version of this method was previously presented in an underwater acoustics context by Parks et al. [5]. The technique [4] presents the full range of observations in the form of normalized histograms, revealing modal behavior, outliers, and limiting features such as persistent tonal components and the system noise floor. Here, we adapt the method to include finer frequency resolution, maintaining the 1-Hz intervals of the PSD.

This more statistical approach requires large sample sizes, which are becoming the norm as advances in PAM technology make long-term deployments and large datasets feasible. Many emerging applications of long-term acoustic monitoring could benefit from this analysis, such as in situ performance assessment of cabled PAM observatories (e.g. NEPTUNE Canada [6], VENUS [7], and the LIDO network [8]), long-term noise monitoring for statutory regulation (e.g. the European Marine Strategy Framework Directive [9]), and, more generally, data analysis and systems diagnostics of autonomous and shipside PAM devices.

We combine the method, hereafter termed spectral probability density (SPD), with conventional percentiles and spectral averages, demonstrating the utility of this integrative approach through example datasets from an autonomous PAM device and a cabled undersea observatory. We propose that the SPD be considered alongside established analysis techniques for the assessment of ambient noise data.

DATA ACQUISITION AND ANALYSIS

Data were recorded in two locations: the Moray Firth, Scotland, UK, and the Strait of Georgia, British Columbia, Canada. The Moray Firth data consisted of two deployments of a Wildlife Acoustics SM2M autonomous PAM device in The Sutors (57°41.1402’N, 3°59.8914’W), firstly between 13 June and 7 July 2012, and then (with an upgraded circuit board) from 7-27 September 2012. Data were calibrated according to the manufacturer’s specifications, which agreed with a separate pistonphone calibration to within ± 1 dB over the frequency range 25-315 Hz. Recordings were made on a duty cycle of 1 minute every 10 minutes, sampling at 384 kHz and 16 bits.

The Strait of Georgia data were acquired from the VENUS network, a cabled seafloor observatory operated by Ocean Networks Canada (ONC). The system calibration and data acquisition were as described in previous work [1], but the data covered a longer period, from 14 December 2011 to 1 August 2012. A total of 57,957 5-minute recordings, sampled at 4 kHz and 24 bits and totaling 191 GB, were downloaded from the VENUS server. 268 files were discarded due to anomalous metadata or file length, and a further 139 files discarded as unrepresentative due to the persistent presence of a maintenance vessel for several hours [1]. Further data were absent due to downtime during administrative tasks and intermittent redactions made to protect sensitive information. The overall coverage of the time series was 85%.

The SPD is calculated from the PSD as normalized histograms of the decibel levels in each 1-Hz bin. To calculate the PSD, the complete dataset of S samples of the instantaneous pressure p(t) is divided into M segments, each containing N samples. The data segments are multiplied by a window function w, such that the mth non-overlapping segment is given by

\[ p^{(m)}[n] = \frac{w[n]}{\alpha} p[n + mN] \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where 0 ≤ n ≤ N−1 and 0 ≤ m ≤ M−1 [10], and α is the coherent gain factor of the window function [11]. The discrete Fourier transform (DFT) of the mth segment is then given by

\[ p^{(m)}(f) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} p^{(m)}[n] \exp \left(-\frac{j2\pi fn}{N}\right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)
For real signals, the DFT is symmetrical around the Nyquist frequency, $F_s/2$, and the single-sided pressure amplitude spectrum is

$$P_{ss}^{(m)}(f') = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{n} \cdot P^{(m)}(f')$$

where $1 \leq f' \leq N/2-1$. The power spectral density of the $m$th data segment is then

$$PSD^{(m)}(f') = \frac{1}{B} |P_{ss}^{(m)}(f')|^2$$

where $B$ is the noise power bandwidth of the window function, which normalizes the frequency bin values to those obtained with a bin width of 1 Hz and a rectangular (Dirichlet) window

$$B = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \left( \frac{w[n]}{\alpha} \right)^2$$

The PSD periodogram is then an $N/2-1$ by $M$ matrix comprising the PSDs of each of the $M$ data segments

$$PSD(f', m) = 10 \log_{10} \left( PSD^{(m)}(f') \right)$$

The SPD of frequency bin $f'$ is given by the empirical probability density

$$SPD(f') = \frac{1}{Mh} H(PSD(f', m), h)$$

where $H(PSD(f', m), h)$ denotes the histogram of $M$ values of the PSD at frequency $f'$ with a bin width of $h$ dB re 1 $\mu Pa^2$ Hz$^{-1}$. The histograms are then combined to form a matrix across all frequencies.

In the analyses presented in this paper, a Hann window ($\alpha = 0.5$, $B = 1.5$) of duration 1 s was used, and the temporal resolution of the periodograms was downsampled to 60 s using the standard Welch method [12]. The histogram bin width, $h$, was 0.1 dB re 1 $\mu Pa^2$ Hz$^{-1}$.

**SYSTEM AND DATA DIAGNOSTICS**

The SPD can show whether the dynamic range of the recording system is appropriate to field conditions: in Fig. 1(a) and Fig. 1(b) the primary mode (maximal probability density) converges with the lowest recorded noise levels at ~10 kHz, and the noise floor appears artificially flat above ~1.5 kHz. This indicates that the data are constrained by the dynamic range of the instrument, and that additional gain or other system modifications would be needed to measure low noise levels in this frequency range. The VENUS data [Fig. 1(c)] was not limited by the sensitivity of the instrument.

If ambient noise spectra are to be presented in 1/3 octave bands, any anomalous spikes in the narrowband spectrum should be characterized as these will dominate their respective 1/3 octave bands. Such tonal components are evident in Fig. 1(a) (as a series of spikes above 1 kHz, believed to be system self-noise) and Fig. 1(c) (at 74 Hz, believed to be system noise from an adjacent instrument [1]). While tonals may appear in percentile plots (overlaid on the SPD in Fig. 1) and the PSD, the SPD can show whether they are persistent throughout the deployment, as in Fig. 1(c) where this was clear from the lack of data points below the tonal spike at 74 Hz. By contrast, the tonal components between 0.1-1 kHz in Fig. 1(b) originated from persistent but variable low-level industrial noise, possibly from a rig or the nearby shipyard. The reduction in tonal system noise between the Moray Firth deployments [Fig. 1(a) and Fig 1(b)] was due to an upgraded circuit board.
The dynamic ranges of PSD plots are often chosen to highlight specific spectral features, which may result in masking of low-level tonal components if the floor of the color scale is too high. The PSDs in Fig. 2, for example, exclude data below 70 dB re 1 μPa² Hz⁻¹, which Fig. 1 shows is a substantial proportion. Potential masking of persistent low-amplitude tonals is precluded by performing an SPD analysis, since the full dynamic range is presented. Combining this with spectral percentiles (as in Fig. 1) ensures that high-frequency tonal spikes, which may be too narrow to be evident on SPD or PSD plots, are not overlooked.

FIGURE 1. Spectral probability densities of Moray Firth deployments over the range 25Hz-100 kHz: (a) 13 June to 7 July 2012 (b) 7-27 September 2012. (c) VENUS deployment over range 10-1600 Hz from 14 December 2011 to 1 August 2012. Note the different frequency range in (c). [13]
AMBIENT NOISE CHARACTERIZATION

As well as evaluating data quality, the SPD can also help to characterize ambient noise levels. For example, the first Moray Firth deployment featured a one-week period of consistently high noise levels as an oil rig was towed into the area by two vessels operating with dynamic positioning (see Fig. 2(a) from June 16 onwards). The received vessel noise was concentrated below 1 kHz, and exhibited a tide-dependent Lloyd’s mirror effect. In the SPD (Fig 1a), this sustained period of vessel noise appears as a secondary modal ridge ~40 dB greater than the primary mode in the range 0.1-1 kHz. In contrast, this underlying bimodality is concealed by the linear mean, $SPL_{lin}$, and percentiles, which could be misleading if used as the sole method of analysis.

While it is often necessary to condense data into average noise levels (e.g. for comparison with other studies or to record temporal trends), different averaging metrics can produce widely differing average levels, which may result in misinterpretation of noise data [1]. One way to assess the behavior of averages is to present them in the context of the distributions they represent. This can be performed across the frequency spectrum using the SPD: Fig. 1 shows that the shape of $SPL_{lin}$ broadly follows the profile of the maximal recorded levels, while the median more closely reflects the mode, as shown by the maximal probability density.

A further application is the characterization of outliers and their influence on noise level metrics. In Fig. 1, $SPL_{lin}$ is consistently below the 95th percentile except where maximal outliers are particularly deviant. Both Moray Firth deployments [Fig. 1(a) and Fig. 1(b)] featured tonal outliers at 50 kHz due to ship-based depth sounders operating at this frequency. The broadband outliers in Fig. 1(b) were due to a rig being towed past the deployment site on 27 September, evident in Fig. 2(b), and those in Fig. 1(c) were particularly loud vessel passages. This illustrates how loud events influence $SPL_{lin}$, and suggests that the relationship between $SPL_{lin}$ and the 95th percentile could be used as an indicator of outlier influence.

**FIGURE 2.** Power spectral densities of Moray Firth deployments over the range 25Hz-100 kHz: (a) 13 June to 7 July 2012 (b) 7-27 September 2012. (c) VENUS deployment over range 10-1600 Hz from 14 December 2011 to 1 August 2012. [13]
CONCLUSION

With an expanding range of PAM systems on the market and increased exploitation of ambient noise monitoring for various research applications, there is a growing need to be able to assess whether an instrument’s dynamic range and gain settings are appropriate to field conditions, and whether data are suitable for their intended purpose. We have demonstrated that the SPD can fulfill this role, complementing the calibration of PAM systems by assessing performance in the field.

We have also shown that the SPD contextualizes conventional spectral averages and percentiles by revealing the underlying noise level distribution. This can alert investigators to the influence of outliers and the presence of phenomena such as multimodality which are not shown by conventional techniques. Combining conventional methods with the SPD in this way enables a more complete understanding of ambient noise data, and should, we believe, be considered as a standard analysis technique for ambient noise monitoring.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Richard Dewey and Jeff Bosma for their contributions to the VENUS field work, and Philippe Blondel for helpful comments on the manuscript. Funding for data collection in the Moray Firth was provided by Moray Offshore Renewables Ltd and Beatrice Offshore Wind Ltd. We thank Baker Consultants and Moray First Marine for their assistance with device calibration and deployment, respectively. N.D.M. is funded by an EPSRC Doctoral Training Award (No. EP/P505399/1).

REFERENCES